

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,208	09/967,208 09/28/2001		Mithat C. Dogan	015685.P123	6059
45222	7590	11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
ARRAYCO		<del></del> -		MALEK,	LEILA
12400 WILS SEVENTH		.VD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90025-1030		2611	
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	``

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner   Leils Malek   2611   261				
Lelia Malek The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	Office Action Summary	·		
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Examinos of time ray be availated under the provisors of 37 CFR 1.19(a). In to event, however, may reply be timely field  1 if NO period for reply is appetited above, the maintenin statistics period will apply and will expire SN (8) MONTHS from the realising date of this communication.  1 Failur to reply which he side or demonstrated period for reply its systater, cases the speciation to be transfer adhancement (3) S.C. § 1.13).  Any reply received by the Ottos later than home membre shart the maining date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any cancer parent times equalitation. See 7 CFR 1.79(b).  Status  1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) field on 25 May 2006.  2a) ■ This action is FINAL.  2b) ■ This action is FINAL.  2c) ■ This action is FINAL.  2c) ■ This action is final field on 25 May 2006.  2a) ■ This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)   is/are vilthdrawn from consideration.  5b) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are rejected.  7b) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are rejected.  7c) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are rejected.  7c) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are rejected.  7d) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are rejected.  7e) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/201 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/201 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) □ o	•		.	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the post-stocks of 37 CFR 1-1346a, in no event, however, may a right be limitly filled.  - Extensions of time may be available under the post-stocks of 37 CFR 1-1346a, in no event, however, may a right be limitly filled.  - If No period to righly is specified above, the maximum shallow princed willay part will expire XX (\$\text{PMITTER}\$ (\$\text{PMITTER}\$) and the capture of the scormunication. Part of the scormunication is the production of the communication, even if timely filled in the maining aste of this communication. Part of the scormunication and the scormunication.  - Falsavior to great very white in set of extended period for regly will, by a faults, cause the application to become AlahADONED 50 14 C. \$1.33.  - Application is a scormunication (\$\text{S}\$) filled on 25 May 2006.  - Status  - This action is FINAL.  - 2b) This action is non-final.  - Show this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - 4) Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  - 4a) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  - Claim(s)	The MAILING DATE of this communication			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GF1 118(b). In no event, however, may a reply be sinely filed after 50. (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the communication of	Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	nar are correspondence addre	<b>33</b>
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.3-13 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.3-13 and 18-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will, by some period for reply will be	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6  Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9  Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received.  2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1\⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 May 2006		
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.3-13 and 18-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	· ·	•	tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
Al)	• —			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/58/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims	, , , , ,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) 1.3-13 and 18-25 is/are pending i	n the application.		•
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of.  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	,			
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-13 and 18-25</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09/28/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09/28/2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	, , , ,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		•	n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			A ived	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ilist of the certified copies no	t received.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)		••	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>			
5) Information biscosdic statement(s) (Frobbiso)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		· =		

Application/Control Number: 09/967,208 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the invention is more that 150 words. Appropriate action is required.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: As to claim 9, applicant claims that the modifying sequence comprises pairs of equal complex numbers, such that each complex number pair is equal to the previous complex number pair multiplied by exp(j2n/M), however this is inconsistent with the value disclosed on page 19, line 1 (i.e. exp(2n/M)) of the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2611

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 3-13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, because as a whole it does not accomplish a practical application. In order to accomplish a practical application, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." (see MPEP 2106, under section II, Determine What Applicant Has Invented and is Seeking to Patent, subsection A, Identify and Understand Any Practical Application Asserted for the Invention.). Applicant in claim 1 does not cite any use for the generated training signal. Therefore the claim lacks practical application.

Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, because as a whole it does not accomplish a practical application. In order to accomplish a practical application, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." (see MPEP 2106, under section II, Determine What Applicant Has Invented and is Seeking to Patent, subsection A, Identify and Understand Any Practical Application Asserted for the Invention.). Applicant in claim 22 recites "a computer-readable medium having instructions". Word "having" in this claim is ambiguous. Examiner suggests the use of "a computer readable medium encoded with computer executable instructions" instead.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2611

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 6-8, 10-12, 18, 22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shattil (US 2004/0141548)

As to claim 1, Shattil discloses a method comprising: selecting a set of original ordered sequences having at least one desired property (low peak to average power ratio has been interpreted as a desired property) (see paragraphs 0123 and claim 9); creating a set of extended sequences, each based on an original ordered sequence of the set of ordered sequences by beginning with an element of the original ordered sequence, cyclically appending elements of the original ordered sequence in order to obtain a desired sequence length comprising at least one subsequence (see paragraphs 0067 and 0082); and modifying each extended sequence using a corresponding modifying sequence (shaping of the prefix and postfix has been interpreted as modifying the sequence) (see paragraphs 0014 and 0082-0083); such that a training sequence may be generated from any one of the modified extended sequences by beginning with first element of a subsequence of the any one modified extended sequence and taking each element of the sequence in order to obtain the training sequence (see paragraph 0067), the modifying sequence being selected so that the obtained training sequence when modulated by a selected modulation format has the at least one desired property of the corresponding original ordered sequence (see paragraphs 0003, 0012, 0018, 0067 and 0117).

Art Unit: 2611

As to claim 6, Shattil discloses that the original sequence comprises a sequence of complex numbers (see paragraph 0058) corresponding to phase shifts employed by the modulation format used to transmit the training sequence (see paragraphs 0059 and 0087).

As to claim 7, Shattil discloses that the modifying sequence comprises a sequence of complex numbers (see paragraph 0058), and forming the modified extended sequence comprises multiplying each element of the extended sequence by a corresponding element of the modifying sequence (see paragraph 0179).

As to claim 8, Shattil further discloses that the modulation format is a  $\Pi/M$ -MPSK modulation format (see paragraph 0090).

As to claim 10, Shattil further discloses that the modulation format is a  $\Pi/M$ -MPSK (e.g. M could be 2) modulation format (see paragraph 0090).

As to claim 11, Shattil further discloses that the original sequence comprises a sequence of binary symbols (see paragraph 0058).

As to claim 12, Shattil discloses that the modifying sequence performs a binary complement operation on every other pair of elements of the extended sequence (see paragraphs 0058 and 0179).

As to claims 18, Shattil discloses that prefix and suffix have been added to the original sequence (see paragraph 0082); therefore the subsequence has a length greater than the original sequence.

As to claim 22, Shattil discloses an apparatus comprising instructions to cause a processing system to: select a set of original ordered sequences that set of ordered

Art Unit: 2611

sequences having at least one desired property (low peak to average power ratio has been interpreted as a desired property) (see paragraphs 0123 and claim 9); modify each original ordered sequence of the set of ordered sequences using a corresponding modifying sequence (shaping of the prefix and postfix has been interpreted as modifying the sequence) (see paragraphs 0014 and 0082-0083) to obtain a set of training sequences (see paragraph 0067), such that the obtained training sequences when modulated by a selected modulation format have the at least one desired property of the corresponding original ordered sequence (see paragraphs 0003, 0012, 0018, 0067 and 0117).

As to claim 25, Shattil discloses that the modifying sequence comprises a sequence of complex numbers (see paragraph 0058), and forming the modified extended sequence comprises multiplying each element of the extended sequence by a corresponding element of the modifying sequence (see paragraph 0179).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 4, 5, 13, 19, 20, 21, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shattil in view of Engstrom et al. (hereafter, referred as Engstrom) (US 5,909,436).

Art Unit: 2611

As to claim 3, Shattil discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except that the one desired property comprises a function of the autocorrelation of any original ordered sequence being below a threshold. Engstrom, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a random access protocol in OFDM systems wherein the mobile station transmits a known signal (interpreted as the training signal) sequence to a base station (see column 1, last paragraph). Engstrom further discloses that the known sequence could be a gold sequence (see column 6, lines 17 and 18) (which inherently has a low autocorrelation (interpreted as below a threshold)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a gold code as the training sequence in OFDM systems to make it more distinguishable to the receiver.

As to claim 4, Shattil discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except that the original ordered sequences has a cross-correlation property. Engstrom discloses a random access protocol in OFDM systems wherein the mobile station transmits a known signal (interpreted as the training signal) sequence to a base station (see column 1, last paragraph). Engstrom further discloses that the known sequence could be a gold sequence (see column 6, lines 17 and 18) which inherently has a low cross-correlation property. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a gold code as the training sequence in OFDM systems to make it more distinguishable to the receiver.

As to claim 5, Shattil discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except that the original ordered sequences has a low (interpreted as below a threshold)

Art Unit: 2611

cross-correlation property. Engstrom discloses a random access protocol in OFDM systems wherein the mobile station transmits a known signal (interpreted as the training signal) sequence to a base station (see column 1, last paragraph). Engstrom further discloses that the known sequence could be a gold sequence (see column 6, lines 17 and 18), which inherently has a low cross-correlation (interpreted as below a threshold) property. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a gold code as the training sequence in OFDM systems to make it more distinguishable to the receiver.

As to claim 13, Shattil discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except that selecting a set of original ordered sequence comprises selecting a family of Gold sequences. Engstrom, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a random access protocol in OFDM systems wherein the mobile station transmits a known signal (interpreted as the training signal) sequence to a base station (see column 1, last paragraph). Engstrom further discloses that the known sequence could be a gold sequence (see column 6, lines 17 and 18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a gold code as the training sequence in OFDM systems to make it more distinguishable to the receiver.

As to claim 19, Shattil discloses an apparatus comprising a data store having stored therein a plurality of ordered sequences for use in generating a training sequence (see paragraph 0186); and a processor to generate the training sequence by taking and modifying a number of elements of one of the plurality of ordered sequences (see Fig. 12, blocks 1210 and 1212). Shattil discloses all the subject

Art Unit: 2611

matters claimed in claim 19, except that a function of an autocorrelation of the training sequence is below a threshold value. Engstrom, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a random access protocol in OFDM systems wherein the mobile station transmits a known signal (interpreted as the training signal) sequence to a base station (see column 1, last paragraph). Engstrom further discloses that the known sequence could be a gold sequence (see column 6, lines 17 and 18) (which inherently has a low autocorrelation (interpreted as below a threshold)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a gold code as the training sequence in OFDM systems to make it more distinguishable to the receiver.

As to claim 20, Engstrom further discloses that cross correlation of the two gold sequences (interpreted as training sequences) is below a threshold value (see column 6, lines 17 and 18).

As to claim 21, Shattil further discloses cyclically appending elements of the original ordered sequence (e.g. the prefix) in order to obtain a desired sequence length comprising at least one subsequence (see paragraphs 0067 and 0082).

As to claim 23, Shattil discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 22, except that the one desired property comprises a function of the autocorrelation of any original ordered sequence being below a threshold. Engstrom, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a random access protocol in OFDM systems wherein the mobile station transmits a known signal (interpreted as the training signal) sequence to a base station (see column 1, last paragraph). Engstrom further discloses that the

Art Unit: 2611

known sequence could be a gold sequence (see column 6, lines 17 and 18) (which inherently has a low autocorrelation (interpreted as below a threshold)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a gold code as the training sequence in OFDM systems to make it more distinguishable to the receiver.

As to claim 24, Shattil discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 22, except that the original ordered sequences has a low (interpreted a below a threshold) cross-correlation property. Engstrom discloses a random access protocol in OFDM systems wherein the mobile station transmits a known signal (interpreted as the training signal) sequence to a base station (see column 1, last paragraph). Engstrom further discloses that the known sequence could be a gold sequence (see column 6, lines 17 and 18) which inherently has a low cross-correlation (interpreted as below a threshold) property. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a gold code as the training sequence in OFDM systems to make it more distinguishable to the receiver.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leila Malek whose telephone number is 571-272-8731. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2611

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leila Malek Examiner Art Unit 2611

L.M

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEM